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We developed a program for solving the selfconsistent Hartree-Fock-Bogoliubov (CHFB) equation under four constraints, one each on the total angularmomentum $I$, proton number $Z_{+}$in the + parity proton shell $\left(p^{+}\right)$, proton number $Z_{-}$in the - parity proton shell $\left(p^{-}\right)$, and neutron number $N$, so that it reproduces the $11 / 2^{-}$band in ${ }^{135} \mathrm{Pr}$. We choose the signature-invariant base $C_{k}$ and $C_{\hat{k}}$, where $\hat{k}$ is the time-reversed level of $k$, and adopt a Hamiltonian with spherical single-particle energies plus the residual quadrupole-quadrupole, monopole-pairing, and quadrupole-pairing interactions. In this signatureinvariant base, the generalized density matrix $K(=$ $\left.K^{2}\right)$ is expressed in terms of $\rho_{k l}^{1}=\left\langle C_{l}^{\dagger} C_{k}\right\rangle, \rho_{\hat{k} \hat{l}}^{2}=$ $\left\langle C_{\hat{l}}^{\dagger} C_{\hat{k}}\right\rangle$ and $\kappa_{\hat{k} l}=\left\langle C_{l} C_{\hat{k}}\right\rangle$, where the state $\rangle$ is the quasiparticle vacuum. The CHFB equation is given as Eq. (1) in Ref. 1). After rendering the dangerous term zero through the iteration procedure, we can transform the elements in $K$ to the canonical forms, i.e., $\left(F^{1}\right)_{k m}^{-1} \rho_{m n}^{1} F_{n k}^{1} \equiv \rho_{k k}^{1 c}$ and $\left(F^{2}\right)_{\hat{k} \hat{m}}^{-1} \rho_{\hat{m} \hat{n}}^{2} F_{\hat{n} \hat{k}}^{2} \equiv \rho_{\hat{k} \hat{k}}^{2 c}$; thus $\left(F^{2}\right)_{\hat{k} \hat{m}}^{-1} \kappa_{\hat{m} n} F_{n k}^{1} \equiv \kappa_{\hat{k} k}^{c}$. In other words, $K$ is transformed to $K_{c}$, and the relation $K_{c}^{2}=K_{c}$ follows. Subsequently, we obtain $\rho^{1 c}=\rho^{2 c}$. If we apply the same transformation to the CHFB matrix (see Eq. (1) in Ref. 1)), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{i i}^{1 c}=\rho_{\hat{i} \hat{i}}^{2 c}=\frac{1}{2}\left(1-\frac{\left(\xi_{i i}^{1}+\xi_{\hat{i} \hat{i}}^{2}\right) / 2}{\sqrt{\left(\Delta_{\hat{i} i}^{c}\right)^{2}+\left(\left(\xi_{i i}^{1}+\xi_{\hat{i} \hat{i}}^{2}\right) / 2\right)^{2}}}\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\xi^{1}=\left(F^{1}\right)^{-1}\left(h^{1}-\omega j_{x}\right) F^{1}, \xi^{2}=\left(F^{2}\right)^{-1}\left(h^{2}+\right.$ $\left.\omega j_{x}\right) F^{2}$, and $\Delta^{c}=\left(F^{2}\right)^{-1} \Delta F^{1}$. Here $h^{1}, h^{2}$, and $\Delta$ are defined in Eq. (1) in Ref. 1). In Fig. 1, we compare the CHFB solution under three constraints for $I=31 / 2, Z=Z_{+}+Z_{-}$, and $N$ (open squares and open circles) with the CHFB solution under four constraints (filled squares and filled circles). Here, the circles correspond to the $p^{+}$shell, and squares correspond to the $p^{-}$shells. The quantities represented by the red filled square under the constraint $Z_{-}=17$ and the red open square under $Z=31$ are composed of the contribution mainly from the $\mathrm{h}_{11 / 2}$ level with $j_{z}=5 / 2$. The number $Z=31$ corresponds to the proton number outside core 28 for ${ }^{135} \mathrm{Pr}$. As shown in (A) of Fig. 1, $\rho^{1 c}=\rho^{2 c} \sim 1 / 2$, i.e., $1 / 2 \times 2=1$ in the $p^{-}$shell, we confirm that the solution certainly describes the negative parity band in the odd- $Z$ nucleus ( $11 / 2^{-}$band in
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Fig. 1. (A) $\rho^{1 c}=\rho^{2 c}$ in the canonical base as a function of $\left(\xi^{1}+\xi^{2}\right) / 2$ at the $I=31 / 2^{-}$state. (B) $\Delta^{c}$ in the canonical base as a function of $\left(\xi^{1}+\xi^{2}\right) / 2$ at the $I=$ $31 / 2^{-}$state. In both figures, filled circles represent the proton levels in the $p^{+}$shell with $Z_{+}=14$, and filled squares represent the proton levels in the $p^{-}$shell with $Z_{-}=17$. Open circles and open squares indicate the CHFB solution under $Z=14+17=31$. The red filledsquare level with $Z_{-}=17$ and the red open-square level with $Z=31$ are mainly from $\mathrm{h}_{11 / 2}$. See the text for further details.
$\left.{ }^{135} \mathrm{Pr}\right)$. In (B) of Fig. 1, we compare $\Delta_{i \hat{i}}^{c}$ between the CHFB solution under three constraints and the CHFB solution under four constraints The blocking effect is clearly indicated by the red filled or open squares because these gap values are much smaller than those for the other levels.
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